

# Autonomous Waypoint Navigation System — Test Report

## ENGR 498B – Senior Capstone

**Author:** Shawn Kim

**Date:** June 22, 2025

---

## 1. Abstract

This report summarizes verification testing of the Autonomous Waypoint Navigation System built for the Raspberry Pi 5. Two test campaigns were executed: (1) **Navigation Planner Test**, which verifies correct route computation over varying waypoint sets and geometric patterns; and (2) **Navigation Logging Test**, which validates long-run stability and logging integrity. The goal is to demonstrate compliance with functional, performance, and robustness requirements under both simulated and real-world conditions.

---

## 2. Requirements Being Verified

1. **Route Computation**
    - The `awns-rpi5 solve` command must compute an optimal tour for  $N = 5, 10, 15, 30, 50$  waypoints, arranged in line, spiral, or clustered patterns, without errors.
  2. **Performance**
    - TSP solve time must remain under 1 s for  $N \leq 50$  (as measured by the built-in elapsed-time output).
  3. **Output Integrity**
    - Generated JSON must conform to schema v1.0, containing fields latitude, longitude, sequence, and timestamp.
  4. **Robustness**
    - Malformed or out-of-order NMEA sentences must be detected, logged, and discarded without crashing the application.
  5. **Long-Run Stability**
    - The `awns-rpi5 run` command must operate continuously for at least 30 minutes without memory leaks or unhandled exceptions.
- 

## 3. Test Configuration

<b>Component</b>	<b>Details</b>
<b>Hardware</b>	Raspberry Pi 5 Model B (16 GB RAM) VK-162 G-Mouse USB GPS Receiver
<b>Software</b>	Raspberry Pi 5 OS Lite (Debian Bookworm), GCC 12.2, CMake 3.27 Concorde TSP v03.12 nlohmann/json v3.11.2, Python 3.10 visualization script

---

## 4. Test Procedures

### 4.1 Navigation Planner Test

1. **Data Sets:** Five waypoint files (csv.zip):
  - 5, 10, 15, 30, 50 points arranged in straight line, spiral, and three-cluster patterns.

2. **Execution:**

```
awns-rpi5 solve
```

2. **Verification:**

- Parsed JSON against schema v1.0.
- Compared plotted tours (graph.zip) by visual inspection to confirm optimal/near-optimal ordering.
- Recorded elapsed times printed to stdout.

### 4.2 Navigation Logging Test

1. **Data Sets:** Four linearly spaced waypoint files: 5\_line.csv, 10\_line.csv, 15\_line.csv, 30\_line.csv.

2. **Execution:**

```
awns-rpi5 run
```

2. **Duration:** Let run until JSON output for final waypoint; total run time tracked via timestamps in logs (log.zip).
  3. **Stability Check:** Monitored for crashes, memory-leaks (via Clang/GCC address sanitizer), and log-file completeness.
-

## 5. Test Results

Test Campaign	Metric	Observed Result	Pass/Fail
<b>Planner (N≤50)</b>	Correct route output	All 25 tours valid (visual)	Pass
	JSON schema conformance	100 % fields present	Pass
	Solve time (max of 25 runs)	≤ 0.85 s	Pass
<b>Malformed NMEA Handling</b>	Crash rate under fuzz inputs	0 crashes after validation	Pass
<b>Logging Stability</b>	Continuous run time	30 min for 5, 10, 15, 30 pts	Pass
	Completion times (5→6 min; 10→14 min; 15→26 min; 30→60 min)	As expected	Pass
	Memory/leaks	No leaks detected by address sanitizer	Pass

---

## 6. Lessons Learned & Next Steps

- **Lessons Learned:**
  - Early integration of fuzz testing for NMEA parsing prevented late-stage crashes.
  - Creating a CI/CD container environment eliminated “it works on my machine” issues.
  - Field trials revealed minor clock drift between Pi system time and GPS timestamps—must NTP-sync before each run.
  - Team Collaboration: Working with my teammates taught me the critical importance of clear, early communication and expectations alignment—unexpected last-minute changes can derail progress.
  - Documentation Discipline: Tackling tasks solo underscored the need to rigorously document the codebase, ensuring that future enhancements or feature additions are straightforward for any developer as a part of software maintainability and scalability.
- **Next Steps:**
  1. Add automated NTP sync at program startup.
  2. Extend tests to include simulated packet-loss and NMEA jitter scenarios.
  3. Draft a formal Security Test Plan per IEEE 829-2020 before the next release.
  4. GUI & Refactor: Implement a graphical user interface and refactor the existing CLI into callable class methods to improve usability and modularity.

- Notes: If refactoring the codebase becomes necessary, a recommendation is to re-use the `GPSClient` and `ConcordeTSPSolver` classes as-is and look to the `Navigator` class on how to use `GPSClient` and `ConcordeTSPSolver`. Otherwise, the `Navigator` class can be minimally refactored for a GUI development approach with the modification of the CLI functionality changed into callable class methods.
  - 5. Scenario File Support: Add functionality for embedding GPS waypoints directly into files, allowing the system to load and execute custom navigation “scenes” at runtime.
    - Notes: Dynamically adding waypoints to a tour would require extending the `Navigator` class or to make a `WaypointManager` class of sorts. As-is, the system reads waypoints in one go from a CSV file, and for this new functionality, the system could either maintain a dynamic list of waypoints in-memory within the `Navigator` class, or a `WaypointManager` class could be implemented to manage a CSV file behind the scenes that the system would then read in later as normal.
- 

## 7. Attachments & References

- **Attachments:**
    - csv.zip — Waypoint input data sets
    - graph.zip — Generated tour plots
    - log.zip — Run logs with timestamps
  - **References:**
    - ENGR 498B Capstone SOW
    - IEEE 829-2020 “Standard for Software and System Test Documentation”
    - GitHub repository: <https://github.com/kimsh02/awns-rpi5>
    - GitLab mirror (for CI/CD): <https://git.def.engr.arizona.edu/tkim1/awns-rpi5>
-